Approved For Release: CIA-RDP62S00346A000100120005-9
Potential U.S. - Soviet Trade in Basic Commodities and Materials

Potential Seviet dellar earnings from the export of basic commodities and materials to the U.S.

As discussed with Mr. Herbert Block, BRA/DRS, the U.S. Department of Commerce is undoubtedly better qualified to provide information on this subject, however, the following comments may be of interest:

While the USSR has edequate resources to support its proposal in Mr. Khrushchev's Letter to President Risembover to export manganese and chrone cres to the U.S., the U.S. market is unlikely to be villing to absorb sizable quantities—particularly if long-term commitments are involved—in view of the existing state of relations between the U.S. and the USSR. Before World War II the U.S. received 30 percent of Soviet exports of manganese ore. In 1948 imports into the U.S. from the USSR were equal to 25 percent of the U.S. requirements for manganese ore and to 42 percent of the U.S. supply of metallurgical grade chromite.


when the USSR withdrew from the world market, U.S. consumers developed sources of supply elsewhere. As a result of the development of manganese ore deposits in Brazil by two U.S. steel firms, Brazil has become the second largest source of manganese imports for the U.S. India, Maxiec, Cuba, and the Belgian Congo also have become important sources of manganese imports since 1948, as shown in Table I. The principal suppliers of chrome ore to the U.S. are now the Philippines, Turkey, Union of South Africa, and Rhodesia-Nyasaland, as shown in Table 2.

There would seem to be little likelihood that the USSR could, in the near future, export significant quantities of ferroalloys to the U.S. Currently Soviet total exports of ferroalloys are estimated at 30,000 to 35,000 short tons of which approximately 55 percent is ferromanganese, 35 percent is ferrochrome, and most of the remainder is ferrosilicon. The U.S. is an importer of these and other ferroallors—obtained largely from Canada, West Europe, and Japan. However, U.S. consumers are unlikely to be interested in disrupting their present sources of supply in favor of the USSR, even if the Soviet Union were in a position to supply large quantities of these materials.

It is believed that there would not be any substantial importation into the U.S. from the USSR of solid fuels (including nuclear fuels,) petroleum, or electric power. This estimation is not affected by any assumption with respect to import duties. The only dollar income likely to accrue to the USSR might result from an expended production of the turbo-drill here with possible increased royalty payments to the USSR.

Besic commutaties and materials which the Soviets would most likely be interested in obtaining from the U.S.

The USER does not import U.S. agricultural commodities. There have been few authoritative references to any desire on the part of the USER



to import U.S. agricultural commodities; however, Soviet Ambaseador Menshikov was reported to have expressed informally an interest in such imports.

The Soviets recognize the necessity to expend their consumption of some agricultural products. It is unquestionable that they could use U.S. feed grains and livestock products, and possible tobacco and cottom. Rosever, the Soviet Leaders have committed themselves to programs for greatly expending the production of grain, livestock products and cottom in the next several years.

An indication of those chemicals which the USSR might be interested in importing from the US can be obtained from recent import data for the USSR. Total Soviet imports of chemicals were valued at 335 million rubles (\$84 million) in 1956, exclusive of rubber (Table 3). Basic chemicals, materials for plastics production, paint and lacquer materials, and photographic materials accounted for the bulk of imports. The USSR also imported 500 million rubles (\$125 million) of rubber and rubber products, of which natural rubber accounted for 439 million rubles and synthetic rubber 56 million rubles. Soviet imports of chemicals from the U.S. were negligible in 1956.

The major groups of chemicals which the USER probably would be most interested in buying from the U.S. are those which will be required to support the projected large increases in synthetics production during 1959-65. These chemicals include materials for plastics production and tyes. The USER might also be interested in a variety of other chemicals ranging from basic industrial chemicals to chemical respents. No meaningful estimate of what the total value might amount to can be made but it probably would be substantial. A figure in excess of \$10 million would not be

of pipe and gas lines smong the items the USSE would be interested in obtaining from the U.S. In addition to such equipment, there is evidence that other types of equipment for the iron and steel industry would be of interest to the USSE. Mambers of a Soviet delegation to the U.S. recently made inquiry concerning the possibility of purchasing the complete equipment for four or five teconite-type mines and plants that could have involved an expenditure of \$1 billion.

USER petroleum reserves are more than edequate for her can needs and no importation of petroleum from the US is likely. There might be a small exchange of USER oil, ex the Black Sea, for Western Europe for deliveries of US or Middle East dellar oil to the Soviet Far Rest on the basis of convenience or laid down cost. Oil field equipment in the USER is believed to be adequate for exploitation of their reserves. Exports from the US are not likely with the possible exception of drill bits.



A potential surket for certain specialized types of modern refining equipment probably exists in the USER. It is possible that such equipment and particularly catalytic conversion processes (cracking, reforsing, etc.) is necessary to keep page with the potential rapid expansion of crude cil output and the planned growth of the petro-chemical industry in the USER. Because the US is a leader in the development and manufacture of such equipment, the US might be the logical supplier. Pipeline construction capacity for both oil and gas appears to be limited in the USER and there would be a missable potential for Soviet purchases both of pipe and of accessory pusping and control equipment.

While the USSR produces a coal tomage equal to the US and has vast reserves under development, the USSR has a serious shortage of true coking coal and very high production costs, particularly in the industrialised vestern part of the country. It is conceivable that the USSR might import limited quantities of good coking coal to improve and expand the present coking coal blands being used in the steel industry in the Western USSR.

The USER capacity in coal mining equipment appears to be adequate. Imports in any quantity are unlikely.

USER capacity in the production of major generating equipment appears to be adequate to maintain the growth of the system. Imports are deemed unlikely. Likewise the capacity for construction of high tension transmission systems appears to be adequate and imports are unlikely.

Any large increase in local distribution systems could tax the transformer capacity and to meet such a temporary expansion of demand might lend to the importation of part of the many small transformers which would be needed.

The USE might be interested in obtaining copper and industrial dismonds from the US, but it is impossible to provide any quantitative figures on the securits of either commodity which the USER might take. Although USER imports of copper from the Free World were 60,000 metric tons in 1997, it is questionable whether the USER would purchase this amount from the US alone.

Consequences of extensive U.S. exportation to the USSR of equipment and technology.

The Soviets use considerable encemts of grain and potatoes in the production of industrial alcohol (food raw material equivalent to more than 1.7 million tons of grain used in 1957) as well as large amounts of edible fats and oils in industry (600 to 700 thousand tons used in 1956). They have stated their intention to reduce the industrial use of food products.

The expansion of the production of synthetic fibers could permit an increased consumption of fibers, increased exports of fibers, or increased production of food products from the production of wool and cotton.



One of the major growth problems of the Soviet chemical industry, one that must be solved if the Seven-Yoar Plan (1959-65) for chemicals is to be fulfilled, is the production of chemical equipment, particularly equipment for the production of plastics and symbotic fibers. In view of the weekness of the domestic chemical equipment industry and of the limited possibilities of obtaining equipment elsewhere in the Rloc, it has been estimated that fulfillment of the 1965 goals for plastics, synthetic fibers and symbotic rubber will depend, in part, on the success the USER has in importing equipment from the West. There seems to be little doubt that a denial of U.S. equipment and technology particularly in the field of petrochemicals would retard the growth of the Soviet chemical industry.

With respect to economic and military significance of the planned increases in the production of chemicals, particularly synthetics, the following is quoted from a recently published CSK:


The new plan for synthetics was apparently notivated in part by a desire to increase the production of consumer goods, and this notivation was given a great deal of publicity. This publicity tends to obscure the fact that synthetics have wide application in heavy industry and the defense industries. The emphasis on consumer uses may have been intended in part to soften any reluctance of the West to provide equipment and technology for the planned expansion."

"The increased production of chemical fibers and plastics in the USER will permit a considerable increase in the production of such consumer items as clothes and shoes. In addition, the greater reliance on petroleum sources of sur materials should provide an increase in the availability of certain agricultural products, such as grains, potatoes and fate, which now go to produce synthetic alcohol, soap and other products."

"A substantial portion of the increased production of synthetics, however, will go into industrial and military use. Ehrushchev streamed the role of synthetics in lowering costs of production, and emphasized their use in replacing non-ferrous metals. In addition, there are many recent examples of the Soviet use of synthetics in strategic items. Half the measuring instruments aboard Sputnik III are reportedly made of synthetic materials. The Soviet TU-104 passenger place has 120,000 parts made of plastic and rubber or combinations of these with other materials."

Soviet basic commodities and materials which might be of interest to U.S. Industry for prototype or technological reasons.

It is possible that the U.S. could make use of some Soviet seeds and plant material. One of the U.S. agricultural delegations hopes to obtain materials of this type.



OLVIIL:

Approved For Release : CIA-RDP62S00346A000100120005-9

There are no particular Soviet commodities or items of equipment for use in the iron and steel industry that are likely to be made available for parthese and are of special interest, from the standpoint of their intelligence value, for either prototype or technological reasons.

The U.S. is now experimenting with Russian built turbodrills for use in the U.S. petroleum industry. There is reason to believe that such drills may prove to be a useful addition to U.S. petroleum production equipment if suitable drill bits can be developed. Neither the U.S. nor the U.S. have developed satisfactory bits for the turbodrill.

There are no other Russian commodities or equipment related to the fuels and power industries which the U.S. would like to have for prototype or technological reasons.

It is conceivable, that the acquisition of samples of rare metals, powdered metals, certain super purity metals, nonferrous alloys of titanium or tantalum, or cermet might be of interest to U.S. research groups.

hasic commodities and materials which the USSR has exported or which appear to be in surplus in the USSR.

The Soviets export cotton, grain, lumber and other forest products, flax, and small amounts of other agricultural products.

(approximately \$77 million) in 1956, exclusive of rubber and rubber products (Table 4). Cobs chemicals and fertilizers accounted for about seven-tenths of the total value. The USER also experted 172 million rubles (\$43 million) worth of rubber and rubber products in 1956, about half the value of which was accounted for by natural rubber, one-fourth by synthetic rubber and one-fourth by tires. It is probable that the pattern of experts in 1957 45 quite similar.

Experts of chemicals to the U.S. in 1956 excented to about \$11 million. This consisted almost exclusively of coke chemicals, chiefly benzol and naphthalene. Benzol shipments assumted to 100 thousand tone, valued at 31 million rubles (\$8 million). Experts of benzol to the U.S. fell to about 60 thousand tone in 1957, most likely the result of a decline in U.S. requirements.

With respect to the possibility of increased Soviet exports of chemicals to the U.S., the USSR would most likely have exportable surpluses in the fertilizer end coke chemical groups. Potassium salts was the only item in the chemicals category mentioned by Khrushchev in his latter to President Eisenhower.

The Soviet Union has large reserves of potassium. Production of potassium fertilizers (mainly potassium chloride) in 1996 was over 2 million tons (42 percent KgO basis) and production by 1965 probably will be on the order of 4 to 6 million tons. In 1996, 107 thousand tons, were exported

chiefly to Finland. Exports in 1956 were more than double the 46 thousand tons exported in 1955. The USSR signed a trade agreement to supply Japan with 50 to 100 thousand tons* in 1958. Prior to 1958, Soviet exports of potassium fertilizers to Japan were small or zero. Emports of potassium fertilizers into the USSR declined from 47 thousand tons in 1955 to 5 thousand tons in 1956. The above information indicates a rising exportable surplus of potassium salts. It would appear likely that the USSR could export sizable quantities of potassium chloride to the U.S. without additional research any estimate of the total is conjectural but 100 thousand tons (\$2.5 million) or more might be currently available and by 1965 the total might reach 500 thousand tons (\$125 million) annually.

Sizable exports of apatile concentrates (to the U.S.) might be possible. The USSR has large reserves of apatile and is a major exporter. More than half of Soviet exports go to Bloc countries.

Benzol is currently in surplus supply in the USSR. Production in 1956 is estimated to have been 370 thousand tons of which 139 thousand tons, or about one-third, were exported. The U.S. purchased 100 thousand tons, in 1956 and 60 thousand tons in 1957. Soviet benzol production, which is largely derived from coke, is estimated to be increasing at a rate of less than 10 percent per year, while domestic requirements (for the production of synthetic organic chemicals) are probably rising at a much more rapid rate. If would appear, doubtful, therefore, that any substantial increase in Soviet exports to the U.S. over the 1956 level of 100 thousand tons is possible. The long-run trend of Soviet exports of benzol should be downward.

Significant increases in exports of such items as naphthalene might be possible. U.S. imports of naphthalene from the USSR increased from 1 thousand tons in 1956 to 6 thousand tons in 1957. The pattern of Soviet trade is to export naphthalene and to import phtenalic anhydride, a chemical which is produced from naphthalene.

While a moderate increase in Soviet exports of chemicals to the US should be fessible any dramatic increase seems unlikely. Even assuming sizable exports of potassium fertilizers and a return to the 1956 level of benzol exports, an increase of more than \$15 million in the near future appear doubtrul.

In the proposal concerning the possibilities for increased trade between the U.S. and the USSR the Soviet Union listed manganese and chrome ores and ferroalloys as commodities the USSR could export to the U.S. These are commodities which the USSR now exports—to the Free World and to Bloc countries and which the U.S. imports in large quantities.

^{*} Reported as 50 thousand tons but K20 content not given. Probably 100 percent K20 basis.



There is little doubt that the USAR could implement its proposal to export curreness and chross cres. The Soviet Union has large reserves of each and is believed to have the capability of increasing considerably both the produration and export of these commodities. In 1957 the UNER is estimated to have produced 5.5 million short tons of mangamese ore and about 960,000 short tons of chromite. Reports in that year are estimated at 930,000 short tons of managements ore and 270,000 short tons of chromite. Shipments to Free World countries included 447,000 tons of mangamene ore and 189,000 tons of chromite in 1957.

soviet resources are believed to be capable of supporting an expension in exports to 1.25 to 1.5 million tons of manganess ore and to 450,000 to 530,000 short tens of chromite within the next few years. Thus, shipments could be made to the U.S. without disrupting the present pattern of deviet seports those in Tables 5 and 6.

the UPR has continued to expert crude oil and petroleum products in proposing quantities since 1954. Table 7 summarizes the imports and exports or crain oil and products by the USER in 1957.

in 1963, the USSR may export as much as 30 million tons of crude oil and products, divided approximately equally between other thos countries and the Pres World. In the same year the USER may import 8 million tons of crude and products from the Buints.

It is known that the Soviets supplied most of the equipment for the new refinery at LANCHON, CHEM. Although the Bloc has offered and/or supplied a variety of production and refinery equipment to Free World countries it is believed that much of such equipment actually originated in Aumonia, Czechoslowskie, and Bungary rether them in the USSR.

the USER became a net exporter in 1997, when total exports of coal and coke were about 7 million tons, valued at about \$150 million. Over 60 percent of the total exports - comprised primarily of hard coal (anthracite and initurations) and color - was destined for the Bullats. Coal exports to the Free World of bituminous coal and coke, which are in relatively short supply in the USER and the Bloc, represented less than 10 percent of total coal exports.

The USER may export as much as 9 million tons of each and coke by 1963. Although the Free World share may represent as much as 60 percent of total exports in 1963, such share will again be composed essentially of anthracite. The supply of bituminous coal and coke in the USSR is expected to continue to be critical through 1963.

to substantial quantities of power, as such, are exported. Heavy generating equipment has been supplied to the Satellite eress. However, most of the generating equipment offered in the Free World, by the Moc, is of mailler sizes and is believed to originate in the Satellites.



In 1997 the Soviets exported, smong other nonferrous items, aluminum, tin, gold, smtimony, lead, platinum, minc, magnesium, and asbestos to the Free World. It is presumed that these commodities also will be available for export to the Free World in 1950. The 1957 tin exports were probably of Chinese origin. In 1957, exports of aluminum were about 30,000 metric tons valued at \$17 million; and tin exports were about 10,000 metric tons at \$21 million.

U.S. Imports of Nanganese Ore (35% or more Mn) By Countries of Origin
1946, 1956, 1957

			wand fort for
	1948 1/	1956 2/	1957 2/
India	315	651	669
Pract1	160	237	664
Union of South Africa	283	2/1	221:
Nexico	24	183	218
Ohana	218	260	191
Cuba	33	218	152
Belgian Congo	3	155	132
Naracco	Sogl.	69	43
Angola	2	17	33
Chile	10	20	26
Fortuguese Asla	X.	3	19
Turkey	4808	b	13
US 5/4	304	***************************************	-
Other Countries	n	32	103
Potal Imports	1,473	2,138	5*p35

^{1.} U.3. Bureau of Mines, Minerals Tearbook 1949, p. 753, E

^{2.} American Iron and Steel Institute, Angual Statistical Report, p. 115, U

Table 2
U.S. Exports of Chromite,
By Country of Origin, 1965 and 1956

	The second of th	Thousand Short Tons
	78% 入	1956 2/
0338	394	•
Delon of South Africa	293	W 19
Turkey	254	528
Pattlip; ines	236	678
Cubs	164	52
Southern Thecasia	126	359
Now Celodomia	1,6	49
Incombavia	13	16
Sierre Leone (British West Africa)	5	2
Melta, Coso, Cyprus	5	••
brad 1	2	1
Oratemala	1	1
Canada	Megl.	
	and the second s	2
Inclo	***	3
Japan	- Annual -	Regl.
Fakisten	••••	7
Total.	1,542	2,175

^{1.} U.S. Bureau of Hines, Minerals Year book 1949, p. 240, U

^{2.} W.S. Bureau of Mines, Preprint from Minerals Tearbook 1956, Chromium, p. 11,0

Seriet Imports of Chemicals, 1956 g/ b/

41. * .

	The second second		Taling	
The state of the s	Metric Tons	Rillon Robles	Percent Distribution	
Canatic soda	68.6	19.0	5.7	
Sode and	143.0	21.0	6.3	
Sodium salfide	71.2	12.2	3.6	
Caleium carbide	67.3	5/1.0	7.2	
Alcohols and aldebydes	*****	534 9	15.9	
Ethyl alcohol	57.5	19.6		
Paraldehyde	10.4	27.8		
Meterials for plastics production	*******	W-7 9/	13.3	
Pathelic ambydride	్.2	10.2		
Polyvisyl chloride regins	10.2	19.9		
Pibutylphthalate	0.1	1.9		
Plasticisers	0.8	3.8		
PhenoL	5.0	2.5		
Makelite jouders	1.1	2.2	-	
Dyes, paints and lecquer materials	and add.	53.5	16.0	
Photographic materials	***	47-3	14.1	
Rosin	24.4	15.2	4.5	
Insecticides	****	17.3	5.1	
Other chemicals	******	27.7	8.3	
Total	***	335.3	100.0	

a. Ministry of Fereign Trade USSR, Vneshnyay: torrovlys SSSR as 1956 rod (International Trade of the USSR in 1956), Moscow, 1958. U.

b. Does not include rubber and rubber products.

c. Complete breakdown of category not alown.

Table 4 Seviet Exports of Chemicals, 1956 a/ b/

	Thousand	*** 3	Value	
Item	Netric Tone	Maria de la constanta de la co	Parcent Distribution	
Coke chardcals	470.7	93.2 5/	30,1	
Bernol	139.1	12.7		
Amphitisalore	29.4	13.1	6 <u>6</u> 0	
Goal-tar pitch	232.9	19.5		
Pertilisers and insecticides	****	120.5 9/	39.0	
Apatite cencentrates	1,123.8	63.1		
Mitrogen fertilizers	112.9	23.3		
Potassium salts	107.1	9.9		
Insecticides	-	3.9		
byes, paints, terming materials	-	27.1	3.8	
Wood chemicals	21.)	13.6	4-4	
Other ebenicals	-	6ءبلا	17.7	
Total	tudrigo.	309.4	100.0	

Ministry of Foreign Trade CSTR, Vneshnyaya terrovlya 5538 ga 1956 god (International Trade of the USSR in 1956), Poscos , 1958. U.
 Does not include rubber and rubber products.

c. Complete breakdown of category not shown.

Table 5

USER Experts of Mancanese Ore, By Countries of Destination, 1956 and 1957

		Thousand Short Tons
	1956	1957 *
Csechoslovalia	140	135
Lest Cersony	165	203
Peland	304	194
Albaria	7	1
Malgium-Luxumburg	99	***
France	103	138
Italy	33	5
Japan	26	33
Netherlands	11	11
Horney	33	33
Sweden	12	16
United Lingdon	168	171
Most Germany	28	n
Ingoslavia	29	16
Austria	-	6
Conside	***	7
Total	1,162	980

[·] Preliminary

Approved For Release : CIA-RDP62S00346A000100120005-9

Table 6

USSR Reports of Chrome Ore, By Country of Postination, 1956 and 1957

		Thousand Stort Tons
	1956	1957 ·
Camboalovakia	2	XA.
Best Germany	6	6
Poland	12	42
Califo	7	
Prance	75	55
Italy	6	
Japan	17	n
******	22	22
Sweden	2	50
West Germany	16	-
Pakistan	6	****
Blou	3	3
Canada	•	22
United Hingdom	-	6
Total	174	270

[·] Proliminary

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP62S00346A000100120005-9

Table ?

USSR Exports and Lacorts of Petroleus,

	(coo metric tons)	(million & US
sports from USGR	119	
To Moe - Crude	2,196	37+2
Products by	3,222	113.9
Total	5.127	151.1
to Free World W		
Crede	1,918	32.5
Products 4	4 , 216	112.1
Total	6.1.3F	<u>144.6</u>
ports to USSR	+	
Prom Eloc - Crude	171	2.9
Products 9	5,478	179.9
Total.	5.649	182.8
From Free World	None	None

a. Based on average unit prices for Middle East Crude FOB Pereian Gulf, and US Gulf Coast petroleum products.

- e. The US did not engage in any foreign trade in petroleum with the USSE.
- d. Experts of products to the free World countries were composed essentially of residuels 45%, gas oil 37%, and gasoline 10%.
- e. Imports of products from other Bloc countries were composed essentially of kerosine 43%, gasoline 28%, and gas oil 17%.

Approved For Release: CIA-RDP62S00346A000100120005-9

b. Exports of products to other Bloc countries were composed assentially of kerosine, 42%, gasoline 20%, and gas oil 16%.